BEFORE THE 1 POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD STATE OF WASHINGTON 2 3 IN THE MATTER OF LILLY DUVALL, 4 PCHB No. 903 Appellant, 5 FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER 6 PUGET SOUND AIR POLLUTION 7 CONTROL AGENCY, Respondent. 8 9

open burning violation of respondent's Regulation I having come on regularly for formal hearing before Board members Chris Smith and Walt Woodward on the 22nd day of September, 1975, at Tacoma, Washington and appellant Lilly Duvall appearing pro se and respondent Puget Sound Air Pollution Control Agency appearing through its attorney, Keith D. McGoffin and the Board having considered the sworn testimony, the exhibits, records and files herein and having entered on the 8th day of October, 1975, its proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

and Order, and the Board having served said proposed Findings, Conclusions 2 and Order upon all parties herein by certified mail, return receipt 3 requested and twenty days having elapsed from said service; and 4 The Board having received no exceptions to said proposed Findings, 5 Conclusions and Order and the Board being fully advised in the premises; 6 now therefore, 7 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said proposed 8 Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order dated the 8th of 9 October, 1975, and incorporated by this reference herein and attached 10 hereto as Exhibit A, are adopted and hereby entered as the Board's 11 Final Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order herein. 12 DATED this day of November, 1975. 13 POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD 14 15 16

2

WALT WOODWARD, Member

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

BEFORE THE 1 POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD 2 STATE OF WASHINGTON 3 IN THE MATTER OF LILLY DUVALL, 4 Appellant, PCHB No. 903 5 FINDINGS OF FACT, v CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER 6 PUGET SOUND AIR POLLUTION 7 CONTROL AGENCY, Respondent. 8 9

This matter, the appeal of a \$50.00 civil penalty for an alleged open burning violation of respondent's Regulation I, came before the Pollution Control Hearings Board (Chris Smith, presiding officer, and Walt Woodward) at a formal hearing in the Public Utilities Building, Tacoma, on September 22, 1975.

Appellant appeared pro se; respondent through Keith D. McGoffin. Jennifer Rowland, Olympia court reporter, recorded the proceedings.

Witnesses were sworn and testified. Exhibits were admitted.

From testimony heard and exhibits examined, the Pollution Control

EXHIBIT A

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

Hearings Board makes these

FINDINGS OF FACT

I.

Respondent, pursuant to Section 5, chapter 69, Laws of 1974, 3d Ex. Sess. (RCW 43.218.260), has filed with this Board a certified copy of its Regulation I containing respondent's regulations and amendments thereto.

II.

Section 9.02(d)(2)(iii) of respondent's Regulation I makes it unlawful to cause or allow an outdoor land-clearing fire in an urbanized area without respondent's verification that the average population of the area is 2,500 persons or less per square mile. Section 3.29 authorizes respondent to levy a civil penalty of not more than \$250.00 for any violation of Regulation I. Section 9.02(g) states that the person who owns property on which an outdoor fire occurs has "caused or allowed" the fire.

III.

On July 9, 1975, on property owned by appellant at 12121 Nyanza Road, Tacoma, Pierce County--which is an urbanized area as defined by Section 9.02 of respondent's Regulation I--an inspector on respondent's staff saw a land-clearing pile of brush smoldering and smoking. The inspector ascertained from respondent's records that no population verification request for the area had been made by appellant.

In connection with the above incident, respondent served on appellant Notice of Violation No. 10866, citing Section 9.02 of respondent's Regulation I, and Notice of Civil Penalty No. 2260 in the sum of \$50.00, which is the subject of this appeal.

FINDINGS OF FACT,
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

IV.

Appellant, who was not present on her property at the time of the inspector's observation, did not ignite that debris pile. She believes, but did not prove, that the fire resulted from a bulldozing operation which stirred up some coals from an old fire.

٧.

Any Conclusion of Law hereinafter recited that is deemed to be a Finding of Fact is adopted herewith as same.

From these facts, the Pollution Control Hearings Board comes to these CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

I.

Appellant, being the owner of property in an urbanized area on which there was a land-clearing fire without a population verification, was in violation of respondent's Regulation I as cited in Notice of Violation No. 10866.

II.

Notice of Civil Penalty No. 2260, being one-fifth of the maximum allowable amount, is reasonable.

III.

Any Finding of Fact herein that is deemed to be a Conclusion of Law is adopted herewith as same.

Therefore, the Pollution Control Hearings Board issues this

ORDER

3

The appeal is denied and Notice of Civil Penalty No. 2260 is sustained in the amount of \$50.00.

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

:6

S E No 9928-A

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER